

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/857,682	09/04/2001	Antti Sarela	3003-00034	9988	
26753 75	26753 7590 09/05/2006			EXAMINER	
ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
MILWAUKEE	SCONSIN AVENUE, SUIT , WI 53202	TE 1100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3734		
			DATE MAILED: 00/05/200	DATE MAILED: 00/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-co O	09/857,682	SAREUA ET.AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael G. Mendoza	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	ay 2006.					
	action is non-final.	SALE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR				
, _	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠. Claim(s) <u>36-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-58</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-41 and 43</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42 and 44</u> is/are objected to.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner:						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or 10 mm PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	imary (PTO 413) fail Date				

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 25 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the Heinonen et al. fails to teach or suggest periodically feeding a reference signal to a measuring device. The examiner disagrees. Heinonen teaches a reference value in the atmosphere external of the system. The reference value of the environment is a known value which can be feed to the control unit in a suitable manner. One manner of feeding the reference signal as disclosed by Heinonen is when the measuring signal comes from the environment, and not from the patient circuit. A measuring signal coming from the environment is feed to the measuring device as would the measuring signal from the patient circuit. Fig. 2 shows that a measurement signal is feed to a measuring device 5.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., in re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3734

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 36-41, and 43 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6892726. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claim is merely broader than the patent claim. *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The structural limitations set forth in the instant application are also claimed in the patent e.g. claims 1-6 and 8-10 of the patent discloses similar limitations, e.g., a controllable administration device, a measuring device, an external measuring point (measuring device), a transmission link (means for periodically feeding a reference signal), a user interface, and a control unit.
- 4. As to claims 37-39, Heinonen et al. fails to specifically teach wherein the safety measure comprises disconnection of the control, opening of a safety valve, or providing an alarm. However, Heinonen et al. does teach that the control unit is adapted to interrupt the control action of the controllable device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time was made to interrupt the system using an means necessary including the claimed limitations to prevent any unwanted/unsafe parameters.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 45-58 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3734

6. Claims 42 and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach or render obvious the overall claimed invention of an arrangement for a feedback control system connected to a medical apparatus, the medical apparatus having a controllable device for controlling a patient care factor, the arrangement comprising: a second means for sampling, the second means for sampling providing a sample exhibiting the sample property, a known value of which is indicated by the reference signal.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3734

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 a.m. + 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M

MM

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER